

Historic, archived document

**Do not assume content reflects current
scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.**



76411n

94

United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest Service

Intermountain
Research Station
Ogden, UT 84401

Research Note
INT-351

April 1985



Response of Gambel Oak to Tebuthiuron in Central Utah

APR 29 '85

PROCUREMENT SECTION
CURRENT SERIAL RECORDS

Warren P. Clary
Sherel Goodrich
Benton M. Smith¹

ABSTRACT

Tebuthiuron was aerially applied on a Gambel oak stand at rates of 1.1, 2.1, and 3.2 lb/acre (1.2, 2.4, and 3.6 kg/ha) active ingredient. Control of Gambel oak exceeded 98 percent for all treatments the third growing season after application. Total understory production was reduced by the 2.1 and 3.2 lb/acre rates, while cheatgrass brome greatly increased under the 1.2 lb/acre rate.

KEYWORDS: tebuthiuron, herbicide, Gambel oak,
Quercus gambelii

Gambel oak (*Quercus gambelii* Nutt.) occurs almost entirely within Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah (Little 1971). It is a rhizomatous species that typically is found in dense, clonal, shrublike clumps in the northern and middle portions of its range, and often with treelike stature in more open stands in the southern portion of its range.

When clonal groups are contiguous or nearly so, large areas may be almost impenetrable. Thus, objectives of land managers may include reductions in Gambel oak density or continuity in order to increase livestock forage (Marquiss 1969), to improve habitat diversity for wildlife (Steinhoff 1978), or perhaps to increase water yield (Grover and others 1970). Although burning, mechanical, and chemical techniques have been used to remove Gambel oak stems, it is a very persistent species that sprouts readily from an extensive underground structure (Engle and others 1983).

Tebuthiuron (N-[5-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl]-N,N'-dimethylurea) has effectively controlled many other oak species. Application rates of 2.0 lb/acre (2.2 kg/ha) active ingredient (a.i.) controlled 100 percent of the blackjack oak (*Q. marilandica* Muenchh.) on a fine sandy loam site in Oklahoma (Shroyer and others 1979). In

Texas, 0.8 lb/acre (1 kg/ha) of tebuthiuron provided effective control of sand shinnery oak (*Q. havardii* Rydb.) on fine sand sites (Pettit 1979; Jacoby and others 1983). Post oak (*Q. stellata* Wangenh.), blackjack oak, and water oak (*Q. nigra* L.) canopies were reduced 98 to 100 percent in most situations by 2.0 lb/acre (2.2 kg/ha) in the post oak savannah of Texas (Scifres and others 1981a). Tebuthiuron was effective in controlling shrub live oak (*turbinella* oak, *Q. turbinella* Greene) on clayey soils in Arizona (Davis and others 1980). Control of woody overstory species has often resulted in a several-fold increase in forage, particularly if tebuthiuron was applied at 2.0 lb/acre (2.2 kg/ha) or less (Pettit 1979; Scifres and Mutz 1978; Scifres and others 1981b).

Tebuthiuron did not control Gambel oak at rates of 0.7 to 2.2 lb/acre (0.8 to 2.5 kg/ha) on heavy-textured shale-derived soils in southwestern Colorado, and understory herbage production was depressed (Bartel and Rittenhouse 1982). Britton and Sneva (1981) also reported sensitivity of cool-season herbaceous plants to tebuthiuron in the Intermountain region.

The objectives of this investigation were to evaluate tebuthiuron for control of Gambel oak on a central Utah site and to evaluate the response of herbaceous and shrubby understory species.

METHODS

The test site was in Millard County, Utah, about 6 mi (10 km) south of Scipio. Average annual precipitation is 15 inches (38 cm), the major portion falling October to May. In the 3 years following herbicide application, precipitation averaged 54, 1, and 62 percent above the long-term mean, based on records from nearby communities. The test site was on a uniform alluvial-colluvial fan at the base of the Pavant Mountains at 5,800 ft (1,770 m) elevation. The surface soils were sandy loams to gravelly sandy loams developed from quartzite parent rocks. Soil texture ratios of sand:silt:clay varied from 62:28:10 with 8 percent gravel under Gambel oak clumps to 77:15:8 with 20 percent gravel in the interclump areas. Organic matter in the surface soil was about 9 percent under the oak and about 5 percent between oak clumps.

¹Authors are supervisory range scientist, Forestry Sciences Laboratory, USDA Forest Service, Boise, ID; range conservationist, Vernal Ranger District, Ashley National Forest, USDA Forest Service, Vernal, UT; and range conservationist, Fillmore Ranger District, Fishlake National Forest, USDA Forest Service, Fillmore, UT.

In October 1979, pellets containing 40 percent a.i. tebuthiuron were aerially applied to parallel strips 168 ft (51 m) wide and 0.25 mi (0.4 km) long at rates of 1.1, 2.1, and 3.2 lb/acre (1.2, 2.4, and 3.6 kg/ha). Each rate was applied to a single strip oriented on the contour. Buffer zones 100 ft (30 m) wide were located between test strips. The sequence of strips, including a control strip, was randomized. The 0.25-mi (0.4-km) strips were assumed to adequately represent the plant community's response to each tebuthiuron rate; however, because the strips were not replicated no statistical inferences are presented.

Vegetation response was sampled during late July in 1980, 1981, and 1982. Each strip was systematically sampled by 30 sets of nested temporary plots. A set consisted of two concentric circular plots—a 1,075.8-ft² (100-m²) plot for sampling damage to Gambel oak (percent dead crown), and a 9.6-ft² (0.9-m²) plot for sampling herbaceous vegetation and shrub leaf and twig production. Individual oak stems over 5 ft (1.5 m) tall were categorized into 5-ft (1.5-m) height classes. Oak stems less than 5 ft (1.5 m) tall were classified collectively as "sprouts" and given one damage rating for the plot.

Herbage production was determined by weight estimate. Every fifth plot was clipped, oven-dried, and weighed as a basis for correction of estimated wet weight to a dry weight basis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Because of the poor response reported by Bartel and Rittenhouse (1982), we did not expect Gambel oak to respond quickly to tebuthiuron application. However, phytotoxicity symptoms appeared the first growing season. Defoliation exceeded 40 percent for all application rates by midseason (table 1). The Gambel oak experienced several defoliation-refoliation cycles the first growing season as described by Scifres and others (1981a). The greater the herbicide rate, the more rapidly the cycle occurred.

Treatments were first evaluated the third week in July 1980. At that time the oak subjected to the heaviest rate of tebuthiuron had already defoliated and had regrown some leaves. This resulted in a lower crown damage or defoliation rating than for plants subjected to lower herbicide rates (table 1). Crown kill and apparent plant kill ranged from 74 to 98 percent the second growing season. In the third growing season, plant kill was 98 to 99 percent for all application rates. No data were collected the fourth growing season, but observations in June 1983 indicated 100 percent Gambel oak plant kill under the 2.1 and 3.2 lb/acre (2.4 and 3.6 kg/ha) rates and near 100 percent kill under the 1.1 lb/acre (1.2 kg/ha) rate with only an occasional live stem present.

There was no evidence of variation in susceptibility to tebuthiuron among height classes of oak stems. This is not surprising considering the underground structure of Gambel oak. Unpublished data show a greater biomass below ground than above ground, and that all stems in a clonal group (clump) are tied together by an intensive interconnected network of lignotubers, rhizomes, and

roots. These structures appear to graft readily, facilitating the transfer of herbicide throughout the clonal system. Thus, all stems may be potentially affected to a similar degree particularly if death occurs to all or nearly all of the underground system.

The understory in the test area was depauperate, with few species and little production. This understory plant community was strongly affected by the herbicide in each of the three posttreatment years (table 2). In 1982, the third year after application, the two highest application rates still depressed production of the forb and shrub groups and total understory production. Mountain big sagebrush (*Artemesia tridentata vaseyana* [Rydb.] Beetle), which was severely reduced by all application rates, was the understory plant most sensitive to the herbicide. Broom snakeweed (*Xanthocephalum sarothrae* [Pursh] Shinners), which had its highest production in the light treatment strip, was perhaps the plant least affected by the herbicide. This may be in part because broom snakeweed, with its less extensive root system, was not as susceptible as large shrubs to the distribution pattern of 40 percent a.i. pellets; therefore, many old and new snakeweed plants could respond to release from oak overstory dominance.

Annual grasses, principally cheatgrass brome (*Bromus tectorum* L.), increased in the light treatment strip (1.1 lb/acre or 1.2 kg/ha) in 1982 after death of the Gambel oak overstory, but decreased on the area treated at the 3.2 lb/acre (3.6 kg/ha) rate (table 2). The highest application rate with its correspondingly higher pellet density on the soil surface would directly affect more of the cheatgrass plants—approximately one pellet vs. three pellets per 2 ft² (0.2 m²) (Elanco Products Company 1983).

This study area, with its coarse-textured soils, was one on which tebuthiuron was exceptionally effective against Gambel oak. Many sites, perhaps most sites, would likely not experience such a high rate of oak control at the same application rates. Observation suggests that most Gambel oak sites would have finer textured soils and, most likely, higher amounts of soil organic matter, both of which reduce effectiveness of tebuthiuron (Chang and Stritzke 1977; Duncan and Scifres 1983).

The few understory perennial plants originally under the oak stand were also severely affected by the herbicide. These and similar results on a nearby pinyon-juniper (*Pinus-Juniperus*) tebuthiuron test area (Clary and others 1985) and results from related areas (Bartel and Rittenhouse 1982; Britton and Sneva 1981) suggest that application rates sufficiently high to remove tree-size woody overstories will be very damaging to native perennial forage plants in the Intermountain region. This

Table 1.—Percentage Gambel oak defoliation (1980, 1981) and plant kill (1982) by tebuthiuron in central Utah

Year	Tebuthiuron, lb/acre (kg/ha)			
	Control	1.1(1.2)	2.1(2.4)	3.2(3.6)
1980	0	58.6	70.8	49.8
1981	0	74.3	93.7	98.2
1982	0	99.3	99.6	98.6

Table 2.—Understory species production after application of 40 percent of active ingredient tebutiuron pellets to a Gambel oak community

Species	Active ingredient, lb/acre (kg/ha)										
	1980			1981			1982				
Control	1.1(1.2)	2.1(2.4)	3.2(3.6)	Control	1.1(1.2)	2.1(2.4)	3.2(3.6)	Control	1.1(1.2)	2.1(2.4)	3.2(3.6)
ANNUAL GRASSES											
Cheatgrass bromo (<i>Bromus tectorum</i> L.)	36	13	6	4	3	11	5	0	214	548	214
Others	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
Total	36	13	6	4	3	11	5	0	215	549	214
PERENNIAL GRASSES											
Bluebunch wheatgrass (<i>Agropyron spicatum</i> [Pursh] Scribn. and Sm.)	2.	2	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	5	0
Sandberg bluegrass (<i>Poa secunda</i> Presl.)	5	9	9	8	0	0	0	0	5	6	2
Botlebrush squirreltail (<i>Sitanion hystrrix</i> [Nutt.]	T	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1
J. G. Sm.)	2	0	T	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0
Others	9	12	11	8	0	7	0	0	11	16	3
Total											2
FORBS											
Peavine (<i>Lathyrus brachycalyx</i> Rydb.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	7	0
Others	7	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	19	1
Total	7	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	30	26	1
SHRUBS											
Mountain big sagebrush (<i>Artemesia tridentata</i> <i>vaseyanana</i> [Rydb.] Beetle)	46	1	2	0	25	0	0	0	113	1	0
Broom snakeweed (<i>Xanthocephalum sarothrae</i> [Pursh] Shinners)	3	1	1	0	2	9	8	3	7	69	11
Others	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	49	4	3	0	27	9	8	3	120	70	11
GRAND TOTAL	101	31	21	14	30	27	13	3	376	661	229
(113 kg/ha)	(35 kg/ha)	(24 kg/ha)	(16 kg/ha)	(34 kg/ha)	(30 kg/ha)	(15 kg/ha)	(3 kg/ha)	(421 kg/ha)	(741 kg/ha)	(257 kg/ha)	(119 kg/ha)

result is different from that experienced in Texas where perennial forages regularly increase severalfold following tebuthiuron application to oak stands.

In the central Intermountain area reseeding would need to follow most tebuthiuron broadcast Gambel oak treatments to attain a productive forage stand. The combined expense of the herbicide treatment and reseeding may eliminate the use of tebuthiuron on a broad scale. A more likely use of tebuthiuron may be for localized control of Gambel oak to establish travel corridors through dense stands and to develop openings for various uses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors acknowledge Richard Bjerregaard, representative of Eli Lilly and Company, for his assistance and for materials furnished for this study.

REFERENCES

Bartel, Lawrence E.; Rittenhouse, Larry R. Effects of tebuthiuron on Gambel oak and associated understory vegetation. In: 35th annual meeting, Society for Range Management; 1982 February 7-12; Calgary, AB; 1982: 19. Abstract.

Britton, C. M.; Sleva, F. A. Effects of tebuthiuron on western juniper. Journal of Range Management. 34: 30-32; 1981.

Chang, S. S.; Stritzke, J. F. Sorption, movement, and dissipation of tebuthiuron in soils. Weed Science. 25: 184-187; 1977.

Clary, Warren P.; Goodrich, Sherel; Smith, Benton M. Response to tebuthiuron by Utah juniper and mountain big sagebrush communities. Journal of Range Management. 38: 56-60; 1985.

Davis, E. A.; Kieger, N.; Bryant, B. A. Tests of soil-applied chemicals for chaparral management in Arizona. In: Research progress report, project 3: undesirable woody plants; 1980 March 18-20; Salt Lake City, UT. Salt Lake City, UT: Western Society of Weed Science; 1980: 77-79.

Duncan, K. W.; Scifres, C. J. Influence of clay and organic matter of rangeland soils on tebuthiuron effectiveness. Journal of Range Management. 36: 295-297; 1983.

Elanco Products Company. Graslan technical manual. Indianapolis, IN: Elanco Products Company; 1983.

Engle, D. M.; Bonham, C. D.; Bartel, L. E. Ecological characteristics and control of Gambel oak. Journal of Range Management. 36: 363-365; 1983.

Grover, B. L.; Campbell, C. B.; Campbell, M. D. A prediction for vegetation effects on water yield from watersheds in arid areas. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings. 34: 669-673; 1970.

Jacoby, P. W.; Slosser, J. E.; Meadors, C. H. Vegetational responses following control of sand shinnery oak with tebuthiuron. Journal of Range Management. 36: 510-512; 1983.

Little, E. L., Jr. Atlas of United States trees. Vol. 1. Conifers and important hardwoods. Miscellaneous Publication 1146. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; 1971.

Marquiss, R. W. Studies on Gambel's oak at the San Juan Basin Station. Fort Collins, CO: Colorado State University Agricultural Experiment Station; 1969; Progress Report 69-38. 2 p.

Pettit, R. D. Effects of picloram and tebuthiuron pellets on sand shinnery oak communities. Journal of Range Management. 32: 196-200; 1979.

Scifres, C. J.; Mutz, J. L. Herbaceous vegetation changes following applications of tebuthiuron for brush control. Journal of Range Management. 31: 375-378; 1978.

Scifres, C. J.; Stuth, J. W.; Bovey, R. W. Control of oaks (*Quercus* spp.) and associated woody species on rangeland with tebuthiuron. Weed Science. 29: 270-275; 1981a.

Scifres, Charles J.; Stuth, Jerry W.; Kirby, Donald R.; Angell, Raymond F. Forage and livestock production following oak (*Quercus* spp.) control with tebuthiuron. Weed Science. 29: 535-539; 1981b.

Shroyer, J. P.; Stritzke, J. F.; Oroy, L. I. Carbohydrate levels and control of blackjack oak and winged elm treated with tebuthiuron and 2,4,5-T. Journal of Range Management. 32: 60-62; 1979.

Steinhoff, Harold W. Management of Gambel oak associations for wildlife and livestock. 1978. Unpublished report on file at U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region, Denver, CO. 119 p.

PESTICIDE PRECAUTIONARY STATEMENT

This publication reports research involving pesticides. It does not contain recommendations for their use, nor does it imply that the uses discussed here have been registered. All uses of pesticides must be registered by appropriate State and/or Federal agencies before they can be recommended.

CAUTION: Pesticides can be injurious to humans, domestic animals, desirable plants, and fish or other wildlife—if they are not handled or applied properly. Use all pesticides selectively and carefully. Follow recommended practices for the disposal of surplus pesticides and pesticide containers.



The use of trade, firm, or corporation names in this article is for information and convenience of the reader. Such use does not constitute an official endorsement or approval by the U.S. Department of Agriculture of any product or service to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.